

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/938,072	08/23/2001		Ulrich Fotheringham	WEI0026	1658
832	7590	02/02/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER &	DANIEL	<sub>-</sub> S	HUG, ERIC J		
111 E. WAY SUITE 800	NE STRI	EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT WAY	NE, IN	46802	1731		
				DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\ _
		09/938,072	FÓTHERINGHAN	Λ ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Eric Hug	1731	
	- The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD	EOD DEDI V IS SET TO EXPIRE	3 MONTH(S) FROM	
THE N - Extent after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNisions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, numunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6 by will, by statute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status				· ·
,	Responsive to communication(s) fi			
,—		2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		*
3)□	Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the practice.	n for allowance except for formal tice under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935	matters, prosecution as to th 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
	Claim(s) 1 and 3-23 is/are pending		•	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-18</u> is/	are withdrawn from consideration		
, —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1,3-11 and 19-23</u> is/are re	ejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	iotion and/or alaction requiremen	.t	
	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requiremen		
	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by		abjected to by the Evamin	or
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2 Applicant may not request that any ob			CI.
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected			
, —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•		
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
* § 13)□ <i>A</i>	□ All b)    □ Some * c)    □ None of     1.    □ Certified copies of the priorit     2.    □ Certified copies of the priorit     3.    □ Copies of the certified copie     application from the Internation from the	y documents have been received by documents have been received s of the priority documents have ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) ion for a list of the certified copies for domestic priority under 35 U.	d in Application No been received in this Nationa . s not receivedS.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application)
3	7 CFR 1.78. ) ☐ The translation of the foreign I			•
14)∏ <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first se	for domestic priority under 35 U.	.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	e a specific 7 CFR 1.78.
Attachmen	it(s)			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ce of Informal Patent Application (P <sup>-</sup> er:	

Application/Control Number: 09/938,072

Art Unit: 1731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The following is in response to the amendment filed on October 30, 2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3-11, and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over UK 1,383,201 in view of Miyazaki et al (US 5,588,979), Jagodzinski (US 3,615,317), and Van Zee (US 3,120,433).

As indicated in the previous office action, UK 1,383,201 discloses a process of producing glass ceramics comprising the steps of crystallization generally recited in the claims by use of radiant electric heaters. The discussion of Figs. 1, 2, 3, and 5 at pages 2-4 clearly indicates that the process temperatures are controlled and imply the use of temperature curves and a control loop. In addition, the teachings of Miyazaki are relied upon in Fig. 4 where a temperature curve is set forth. These prior art processes differ from the present invention in that Applicant provides for improvement by the use of short-wave infrared radiators with a high color temperature of greater than 1500°C for heating the glass with a thermal dead time of less than 5 seconds.

Jagodzinski discloses a process for crystallizing glass to glass-ceramics by use of highintensity infrared radiation. The use of infrared radiation heaters is considered an improvement over the use of electric heaters by Jagodzinski, because the exposure times necessary to induce Application/Control Number: 09/938,072

Art Unit: 1731

crystallization are reduced generally from hours to minutes, or even seconds. More precise control of the heating of glass is also realized. Crystallization is effected by using infrared in the wavelength range of 0.8 to 2.5 microns (column 2, lines 38-47), which is the short wavelength range for infrared light. The exposure time can vary from 45 seconds to 30 minutes (column 2, lines 62-66). Therefore, Jagodzinski teaches short heat-treatment times using short wave infrared light. Given the short exposure times, it appears that the instant thermal dead time is an inherent quality of these short wave radiators. Van Zee, in particular the graph of Figure 4, is cited here as evidence to exemplify that radiant energy in the short-wave infrared range (less than 2.5 microns) clearly exceeds 1500°C (2732°F).

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the infrared radiators of Jagodzinski instead of electric heaters in the crystallization process in order to obtain benefits and advantages relating to faster processing times and better thermal control.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gaskell et al (US 3,809,543) is a U.S. Patent equivalent to UK 1,383,201.

Spanoudis (US 3,620,706) discloses thermal tempering of a glass body using short wave infrared radiation (0.7-3.0 microns).

Application/Control Number: 09/938,072

Art Unit: 1731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Hug whose telephone number is 571 272-1192. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 272-1700.

ieh